


Course evaluation: Legal Methods in Research and Practice VT21

Answer Count: 19

1. The objectives of the course were clearly communicated.


The objectives of the course were clearly communicated.	Number of Responses
1	2 (10.5%)
2	3 (15.8%)
3	5 (26.3%)
4	5 (26.3%)
5	4 (21.1%)
Total	19 (100.0%)



Comment

2. The course provided ample opportunity for achieving the learning objectives as defined in the course syllabus.

The course provided ample opportunity for achieving the learning objectives as defined in the course syllabus.	Number of Responses
1	3 (15.8%)
2	2 (10.5%)
3	9 (47.4%)
4	1 (5.3%)
5	4 (21.1%)
Total	19 (100.0%)




Comment

3. In particular, the course has enhanced:


Methodological knowledge relevant for research in the area of European economic law.

Methodological knowledge relevant for research in the area of European economic law.	Number of Responses
1	1 (5.3%)
2	4 (21.1%)
3	5 (26.3%)
4	6 (31.6%)
5	3 (15.8%)
Total	19 (100.0%)




Methodological knowledge relevant for advanced work in the area of European economic law.

Methodological knowledge relevant for advanced work in the area of European economic law.	Number of Responses
1	5 (26.3%)
2	2 (10.5%)
3	5 (26.3%)
4	4 (21.1%)
5	3 (15.8%)
Total	19 (100.0%)




Ability to plan and carry out qualified legal research in European economic law.

Ability to plan and carry out qualified legal research in European economic law.	Number of Responses
1	4 (21.1%)
2	2 (10.5%)
3	6 (31.6%)
4	4 (21.1%)
5	3 (15.8%)
Total	19 (100.0%)



Ability to formulate relevant scholarly questions within a chosen subject area of EEL.


Ability to formulate relevant scholarly questions within a chosen subject area of EEL.	Number of Responses
1	1 (5.3%)
2	5 (26.3%)
3	7 (36.8%)
4	3 (15.8%)
5	3 (15.8%)
Total	19 (100.0%)



Comment

4. There was a good balance between lectures and other activities.


There was a good balance between lectures and other activities.	Number of Responses
1	2 (10.5%)
2	5 (26.3%)
3	5 (26.3%)
4	5 (26.3%)
5	2 (10.5%)
Total	19 (100.0%)



Comment

5. The lectures contributed to achieving the objectives of the course.


The lectures contributed to achieving the objectives of the course.	Number of Responses
1	2 (10.5%)
2	3 (15.8%)
3	5 (26.3%)
4	6 (31.6%)
5	3 (15.8%)
Total	19 (100.0%)



Comment

6. The feedback seminars contributed to achieving the objectives of the course.


The feedback seminars contributed to achieving the objectives of the course.	Number of Responses
1	0 (0.0%)
2	1 (5.3%)
3	3 (15.8%)
4	7 (36.8%)
5	8 (42.1%)
Total	19 (100.0%)



Comment

7. The course provided opportunity for active student participation, discussions and comments.


The course provided opportunity for active student participation, discussions and comments.	Number of Responses
1	2 (10.5%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	6 (31.6%)
4	4 (21.1%)
5	7 (36.8%)
Total	19 (100.0%)



Comment

8. The assigned readings contributed to understanding the relevant subject matter and for achieving the objectives of the course.


The assigned readings contributed to understanding the relevant subject matter and for achieving the objectives of the course.	Number of Responses
1	3 (15.8%)
2	2 (10.5%)
3	4 (21.1%)
4	7 (36.8%)
5	3 (15.8%)
Total	19 (100.0%)



Comment

9. Please give your opinion of the course literature, using the scale 1-5, with 1 being "poor" and 5 being "excellent".


Nergaard & Nielsen (eds.), European Legal Method - In a Multi-level EU Legal order	Number of Responses
1	2 (10.5%)
2	4 (21.1%)
3	6 (31.6%)
4	5 (26.3%)
5	2 (10.5%)
Total	19 (100.0%)



Comment

10. Overall, the teaching contributed to deepening my understanding of the relevant subject matter and achieving the objectives of the course.

Overall, the teaching contributed to deepening my understanding of the relevant subject matter and achieving the objectives of the course.	Number of Responses
1	2 (10.5%)
2	1 (5.3%)
3	8 (42.1%)
4	5 (26.3%)
5	3 (15.8%)
Total	19 (100.0%)




Comment

11. Please give your opinion of the quality of the teaching in general, using the scale 1-5, with 1 being "poor" and 5 being "excellent".

Please give your opinion of the quality of the teaching in general, using the scale 1-5, with 1 being "poor" and 5 being "excellent".

	Number of Responses
1	0 (0.0%)
2	2 (10.5%)
3	8 (42.1%)
4	5 (26.3%)
5	4 (21.1%)
Total	19 (100.0%)




Comment

13. The form of examination (submission of methodology chapter) is adequate for evaluating students' performance in the course.

The form of examination (submission of methodology chapter) is adequate for evaluating students' performance in the course.


	Number of Responses
1	2 (10.5%)
2	5 (26.3%)
3	3 (15.8%)
4	6 (31.6%)
5	3 (15.8%)
Total	19 (100.0%)



Comment


14. The course administration was professional and efficient.

The course administration was professional and efficient.	Number of Responses
1	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	0 (0.0%)
4	8 (42.1%)
5	11 (57.9%)
Total	19 (100.0%)



15. Overall, I am satisfied with the quality of the course.

Overall, I am satisfied with the quality of the course.	Number of Responses
1	2 (10.5%)
2	4 (21.1%)
3	7 (36.8%)
4	3 (15.8%)
5	3 (15.8%)
Total	19 (100.0%)



Comment