

Intergenerational Transmission of Family Influence

S. M. Sadegh Eshaghnia and James J. Heckman
University of Chicago

Rasmus Landersø
Rockwool Foundation

Rafeh Qureshi
University of Wisconsin

Nobel Symposium on Inequality
August 27–28, 2022

**DEPARTMENT OF
ECONOMICS**

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO



**CENTER FOR THE ECONOMICS
OF HUMAN DEVELOPMENT**
The University of Chicago

THE ROCKWOOL FOUNDATION

Copenhagen, Denmark



Department of Economics
UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON

Conventional Approach to Measuring the Intergenerational Transmission of Family Influence

- IGE (intergenerational elasticity).
- Childhood is a single period stage of three-stage overlapping generations model followed by adulthood and retirement.
- Ignores uncertainty.
- Abstracts from timing considerations within stages of the life cycle.
- Focus: Realized lifetime incomes or welfare across generations, but uses snapshots of life cycles in practice due to data limitations.
- Implicitly invokes stationarity across generations or limited nonstationarity.

Our Approach

- Also recognize powerful role of parental influence.
- Multiple periods within each stage of the life cycle.
- Recognize critical and sensitive periods for effective investment.
- Income realized over lifetimes is not the income and welfare **expected** at each period of the life cycle.
 - Information revealed within each stage.
 - Agents risk averse.
 - Credit constraints restrict the smooth transfer of income over the life cycle.
- Income expectations that govern child investment decisions not the same as the realizations of those expectations.
- A continuum of possible IGEs pairing different stages of parent and child life cycles.
- **We pick IGEs of life-cycle measures that are most predictive of important childhood outcomes.**

Life-Cycle Decision-Specific IGEs

- Account for fundamental nonstationarities of economic and social environments.
- Intergenerational changes in the patterns of educational attainment: main drivers of changes in life-cycle patterns of family formation.

What We Do



Contributions

- 1 Recognize importance of early years in shaping child development.
- 2 Fundamental nonstationarity of life cycles across cohorts.
- 3 Build and estimate a life-cycle model accounting for uncertainty and credit constraints.
- 4 Measure role of uncertainty, education, and policy (*ex ante* vs. *ex post*).
- 5 Determine best predictors of successful childhoods.
- 6 Age-specific life-cycle measures of social mobility that are most predictive of child outcomes.
- 7 Surprisingly, the predictive power does not vary with the age of the child at which the life cycle measures are computed (but it does for snapshot measures).

- 8 Traditional proxy measures only weakly correlated with true lifetime measures.
- 9 Life-cycle relative mobility $<$ Proxy relative mobility (currently used proxies overstate relative mobility).
- 10 Life-cycle absolute mobility $>$ Proxy absolute mobility.
- 11 Reforms in credit markets play a huge role in explaining IGE.
- 12 **Even in a generous welfare state with substantial social benefits and social insurance and redistribution through taxes and transfers, there is strong dependence in lifetime resource and welfare across generations.**

Two Measures of Lifetime Resources and Well-Being

- 1 **Present Discounted Value of Future Income** (PDV).
- 2 **Lifetime Wealth**: approximates lifetime value function and accounts for both uncertainty and liquidity constraints.


- Measures that predict important lifetime outcomes of children, like their participation in education and crime.
- Distinguish *ex post* and *ex ante* (realized vs. anticipated).

- ***Expected*** income and expected well-being at different ages measure resources available for consumption and child investment at those ages.
- Measure of decision-relevant and age-specific welfare.

Our Data

- Micro and full population register data.

Figure 1: Data Availability and Our Sample of Parents and Children



Measures of Lifetime Resources

Table 1: Definitions of Welfare and Income Indicators Used in This Paper

		Variable
Traditional Snapshot Measures	(1)	Wage Income
	(2)	Income with Transfers
	(3)	Income without Transfers
	(4)	Disposable Income
	(5)	Family Measures (Husband and Wife or Cohabitants)
	(6)	Equivalent Family Measures
	(7)	Household Consumption
	(8)	Survey Imputed Consumption
Lifetime Measures	(9)	Survey Imputed Consumption with Equivalence Scale
	(10)	Expected Present Discounted Value
	(11)	Realized Present Discounted Value
	(12)	Expected Lifetime Wealth
	(13)	Realized Lifetime Wealth
	(14)	Equivalent Lifetime Measures

New Measures of Life-Cycle Resources and Welfare

$$\text{PDV}_{i,t} = \mathbb{E}_{i,t} \left[\sum_{\tau=1}^{T-t} \beta^{\tau} \mathbf{y}_{i,t+\tau} \mid \underbrace{\mathcal{I}_{i,t}}_{\substack{\text{Information} \\ \text{set for} \\ \text{individual } i \\ \text{in period } t}} \right] \quad (1)$$

- **Approximate value function (Huggett and Kaplan, 2016).**
- Expected lifetime wealth at period t :

$$LW_{i,t} = \mathbb{E}_{i,t} \left[\sum_{\tau=1}^{T-t} s_{i,t+\tau} y_{i,t+\tau} \mid \mathcal{I}_{i,t} \right]. \quad (2)$$

$$s_{i,t+1} = \mathbb{E}_{i,t} \left[\beta \frac{U_c(c_{i,t+1})}{U_c(c_{i,t})} \mid \mathcal{I}_{i,t} \right].$$

- Accounts for uncertainty and credit constraints.

- Household Euler Equation:

$$\mathbb{E}_{i,t} \left[\beta \frac{U_c(\mathbf{c}_{i,t+1})}{U_c(\mathbf{c}_{i,t})} (1 + r_{i,t+1}) \left(1 + \underbrace{\lambda_{i,t}}_{\substack{\text{Lagrange} \\ \text{multiplier} \\ \text{on borrowing} \\ \text{constraint}}} \right) \right] = 1. \quad (3)$$

- CRRA utility function:

$$U(\mathbf{c}_{i,t}) = \frac{\mathbf{c}_{i,t}^{1-\rho} - 1}{1-\rho}.$$

Identifying and Estimating Information Sets

- Cunha and Heckman (2016).
- Use information that predicts outcomes each period.

Example of How We Select Information Sets

- Y_t = outcome at t .
- \mathcal{I}_t = relevant information known and acted on at t .
- W_t = not known and/or acted on at t .


$$Y_t = \mathcal{I}_t \beta + W_t \Gamma + U_t$$

$$U_t \perp\!\!\!\perp (\mathcal{I}_t, W_t)$$

- Test: \mathcal{I}_t properly specified if $\beta \neq 0, \Gamma = 0$.
- $U_{t+j} = Y_{t+j} - E(Y_{t+j} | \mathcal{I}_t), \quad j > 0$.
- Correct information set: U_{t+j} not predicted by \mathcal{I}_t .
- New information arrives later.

[Link to Test Results](#)


Figure 2: Uncertainty by Age and Education Level



Nonstationarity across Cohorts

Figure 3: Distributions of Years of Schooling for Parents and Children

(a) Females



(b) Males






Figure 4: Timing of Key Life Events across Generations

(a) Females




(b) Males



Link to Nonstationary Life Cycles

Figure 5: Income across Cohorts

(a) Non-College




(b) College




Figure 6: SDF across Cohorts

(a) Non-College



(b) College



Link: By Age

Comparing Measures

Table 2: Correlations of Income and Welfare Measures

	Wage Income	Income without Transfers	Income with Transfers	Disposable Income
Income without Transfers	0.55	–	0.98	0.42
Income with Transfers	0.50	0.98	–	0.42
Disposable Income	0.55	0.42	0.42	–
Household Consumption	0.45	0.63	0.61	0.38
Realized Lifetime Wealth	0.39	0.30	0.30	0.49
Realized PDV	0.37	0.43	0.42	0.37
Expected Lifetime Wealth	0.48	0.51	0.48	0.36
Expected PDV	0.45	0.45	0.42	0.35

Continues

Table 2: Correlations of Income and Welfare Measures, Cont'd

	Household Consumption	Realized Lifetime Wealth	Realized PDV	Expected Lifetime Wealth
Income without Transfers	0.63	0.30	0.43	0.51
Income with Transfers	0.61	0.30	0.42	0.48
Disposable Income	0.38	0.49	0.37	0.36
Household Consumption	–	0.38	0.37	0.39
Realized Lifetime Wealth	0.38	–	0.64	0.35
Realized PDV	0.37	0.64	–	0.42
Expected Lifetime Wealth	0.39	0.35	0.42	–
Expected PDV	0.38	0.30	0.39	0.96

Best Predictors of Important Child Outcomes

Figure 7: Parents' Resources and Children's Outcomes

(a) Mathematics Problem Solving




Figure 7: Parents' Resources and Children's Outcomes, Cont'd

(b) College Attainment





Figure 8: Parental Resources Measured at Ages 0–4 and Child Outcomes

(a) Mathematics Problem Solving



(b) Danish Reading





Figure 8: Parental Resources Measured at Ages 0–4 and Child Outcomes, Cont'd

(c) College Attainment



(d) Years of Schooling





Figure 9: Parental Resources Measured at Ages 5–9 and Child Outcomes

(a) Mathematics Problem Solving



(b) Danish Reading





Figure 9: Parental Resources Measured at Ages 5–9 and Child Outcomes, Cont'd

(c) College Attainment



(d) Years of Schooling





Figure 10: Parental Resources Measured at Ages 10–14 and Child Outcomes

(a) Mathematics Problem Solving



(b) Danish Reading






Figure 10: Parental Resources Measured at Ages 10–14 and Child Outcomes, Cont'd

(c) College Attainment




(d) Years of Schooling



Link to Education, Crime, Fertility

Intergenerational Elasticities

Figure 11: Log-Log IGE Estimates



[Link to Rank-Rank Version](#)

[Link to Additional Log-Log IGE Estimates](#)

Intergenerational Correlations and Cross-Sectional Inequality


Table 3: IGE Estimates (Ages 30–35 of Parents and Children)

	Father-Child IGE	Family-Child IGE
	$\hat{\beta} = \rho_{\text{child,father}} \frac{sd(\text{child})}{sd(\text{father})}$	$\hat{\beta} = \rho_{\text{child,family}} \frac{sd(\text{child})}{sd(\text{family})}$
Traditional Measures		
Wage Income	0.125*** = 0.107 $\frac{0.930}{0.798}$	0.287*** = 0.148 $\frac{0.913}{0.471}$
Disposable Income	0.085*** = 0.078 $\frac{0.438}{0.402}$	0.239*** = 0.118 $\frac{0.434}{0.215}$
Income with Transfers	0.209*** = 0.170 $\frac{0.477}{0.387}$	0.346*** = 0.193 $\frac{0.475}{0.264}$
Income without Transfers	0.232*** = 0.162 $\frac{0.894}{0.623}$	0.405*** = 0.194 $\frac{0.879}{0.420}$
Household Consumption	0.341*** = 0.188 $\frac{0.279}{0.154}$	0.426*** = 0.210 $\frac{0.279}{0.138}$
Lifetime Measures		
Realized Lifetime Wealth	0.178*** = 0.087 $\frac{0.550}{0.258}$	0.185*** = 0.087 $\frac{0.550}{0.260}$
Realized PDV	0.264*** = 0.119 $\frac{0.603}{0.272}$	0.351*** = 0.156 $\frac{0.608}{0.270}$
Expected Lifetime Wealth	0.364*** = 0.305 $\frac{0.237}{0.199}$	0.480*** = 0.323 $\frac{0.236}{0.158}$
Expected PDV	0.371*** = 0.310 $\frac{0.279}{0.233}$	0.522*** = 0.341 $\frac{0.277}{0.181}$

Non-Linear IGEs

Figure 12: Local-Linear IGEs for Lifetime Measures

(a) Disposable Income



(b) Household Consumption






Figure 12: Local-Linear IGEs for Lifetime Measures, Cont'd

(c) Expected PDV



(d) Expected Lifetime Wealth



Link to Realized Values

Decomposing IGEs: The Crucial Role of Change in Educational Attainment across Cohorts

- Regression specification:

$$\mathbf{y}_{i,t}^k = \lambda^k + (\boldsymbol{\beta}^k)' \mathbf{X}_{i,t}^k + \mu_i^k + \epsilon_{i,t}^k. \quad (4)$$


- $k \in \{p, c\}$.
- Average log-income ages 30 to 35:

$$\bar{\mathbf{y}}_i^k = \lambda^k + (\boldsymbol{\beta}^k)' \bar{\mathbf{X}}_i^k + \mu_i^k + \bar{\epsilon}_i^k.$$

- Decompose intergenerational covariance of log-income into components:

$$\text{Cov}(\bar{\mathbf{y}}_i^c, \bar{\mathbf{y}}_i^p) = \text{Cov}((\boldsymbol{\beta}^c)' \bar{\mathbf{X}}_i^c, \bar{\mathbf{y}}_i^p) + \text{Cov}(\mu_i^c, \bar{\mathbf{y}}_i^p). \quad (5)$$

Figure 13: Decomposition of IGEs



[Link to Covariance Share](#)

Absolute Upward Mobility

Figure 14: Absolute Mobility

(a) Traditional Measures




Figure 14: Absolute Mobility, Cont'd

(b) Lifetime Measures




Figure 15: Absolute Mobility of Disposable Income






Figure 16: Father-Son Absolute Mobility in Lifetime Wealth: Accounting for Risk Aversion



Changes in Welfare across Generations

Figure 17: Distribution of $\beta U_c(c_{i,t+1})/U_c(c_{i,t})$



Summary and Conclusions

Contributions

- 1 Recognize importance of early years in shaping child development.
- 2 Fundamental nonstationarity of life cycles across cohorts.
- 3 Build and estimate a life-cycle model accounting for uncertainty and credit constraints.
- 4 Measure role of uncertainty, education, and policy (*ex ante* vs. *ex post*).
- 5 Determine best predictors of successful childhoods.
- 6 Age-specific life-cycle measures of social mobility that are most predictive of child outcomes.
- 7 Surprisingly, the predictive power does not vary with the age of the child at which the life cycle measures are computed (but it does for snapshot measures).

- 8 Traditional proxy measures only weakly correlated with true lifetime measures.
- 9 Life-cycle relative mobility $<$ Proxy relative mobility (currently used proxies overstate relative mobility).
- 10 Life-cycle absolute mobility $>$ Proxy absolute mobility.
- 11 Reforms in credit markets play a huge role in explaining IGE.
- 12 **Even in a generous welfare state with substantial social benefits and social insurance and redistribution through taxes and transfers, there is strong dependence in lifetime resource and welfare across generations.**

Thank You

**DEPARTMENT OF
ECONOMICS**

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

THE ROCKWOOL FOUNDATION

Copenhagen, Denmark



**CENTER FOR THE ECONOMICS
OF HUMAN DEVELOPMENT**
The University of Chicago



Department of Economics
UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON

References

- 1 Aaronson, D. and B. Mazumder (2008). Intergenerational economic mobility in the United States, 1940 to 2000. *Journal of Human Resources* 43(1), 139–172.
- 2 Andersen, T. M. and M. Svarer (2007). Flexicurity—labour market performance in Denmark. *CESifo Economic Studies* 53(3), 389–429.
- 3 Atkinson, A. B. (1980). On intergenerational income mobility in Britain. *Journal of Post Keynesian Economics* 3(2), 194–218.
- 4 Becker, G. S. and N. Tomes (1979, December). An equilibrium theory of the distribution of income and intergenerational mobility. *Journal of Political Economy* 87(6), 1153–1189.
- 5 Becker, G. S. and N. Tomes (1986, July). Human capital and the rise and fall of families. *Journal of Labor Economics* 4(3, Part 2), S1–S39.

- ⑥ Behrman, J. and P. Taubman (1985). Intergenerational earnings mobility in the United States: Some estimates and a test of Becker's intergenerational endowments model. *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, 144–151.
- ⑦ Bello, S. L. and I. Morchio (2017). Like father, like son: Occupational choice, intergenerational persistence and misallocation. Technical report, Discussion Paper.
- ⑧ Berman, Y. (2018). Growth, inequality and absolute mobility in the united states, 1962-2014. Available at SSRN 3256993.
- ⑨ Bjorkegren, E., M. Lindahl, M. Palme, and E. Simeonova (2019). Pre-and postbirth components of intergenerational persistence in health and longevity lessons from a large sample of adoptees. *Journal of Human Resources*, 0318–9421R1.

- 10 Bjorklund, A. (1993). A comparison between actual distributions of annual and lifetime income: Sweden 1951–89. *Review of Income and Wealth* 39(4), 377–386.
- 11 Black, S. E. and P. J. Devereux (2011). Recent developments in intergenerational mobility. In O. C. Ashenfelter and D. Card (Eds.), *Handbook of Labor Economics*, Volume 4, Part B, Chapter 16, pp. 1487–1541. Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- 12 Blundell, R., L. Pistaferri, and I. Saporta-Eksten (2016, February). Consumption inequality and family labor supply. *American Economic Review* 106(2), 387–435.
- 13 Browning, M., P. A. Chiappori, and Y. Weiss (2014). *Economics of the Family*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- 14 Browning, M., O. Donni, and M. Gørtz (2021). Do you have time to take a walk together? Private and joint time within the household. *The Economic Journal* 131(635), 1051–1080.

- 15 Browning, M. and S. Leth-Petersen (2003). Imputing consumption from income and wealth information. *The Economic Journal* 113(488), F282–F301.
- 16 Bruze, G. (2018). Intergenerational mobility: New evidence from consumption data. *Journal of Applied Econometrics* 33(4), 580–593.
- 17 Caucutt, E. and L. J. Lochner (2020). Early and late human capital investments, borrowing constraints, and the family. *Journal of Political Economy* 128(3), 1065–1147.
- 18 Chadwick, L. and G. Solon (2002, March). Intergenerational income mobility among daughters. *American Economic Review* 92(1), 335–344.

- 19 Charles, K. K., S. Danziger, G. Li, and R. Schoeni (2014). The intergenerational correlation of consumption expenditures. *American Economic Review* 104(5), 136–40.
- 20 Charles, K. K. and E. Hurst (2003). The correlation of wealth across generations. *Journal of Political Economy* 111(6), 1155–1182.
- 21 Chetty, R., D. Gusky, M. Hell, N. Hendren, R. Manduca, and J. Narang (2017). The fading american dream: Trends in absolute income mobility since 1940. *American Economic Journal, Economic Policy* 356, 398–406.

- 22 Corak, M. (2006). Do poor children become poor adults? Lessons from a cross-country comparison of generational earnings mobility. In J. Creedy and G. Kalb (Eds.), *Dynamics of Inequality and Poverty*, Volume 13 of *Research on Economic Inequality*, pp. 143–188. Emerald Group Publishing Limited.
- 23 Corak, M. and A. Heisz (1999). The intergenerational earnings and income mobility of Canadian men: Evidence from longitudinal income tax data. *Journal of Human Resources*, 504–533.
- 24 Corak, M. and P. Piraino (2011). The intergenerational transmission of employers. *Journal of Labor Economics* 29(1), 37–68.
- 25 Couch, K. A. and T. A. Dunn (1997). Intergenerational correlations in labor market status: A comparison of the United States and Germany. *Journal of Human Resources*, 210–232.

- 26 Cunha, F. and J. J. Heckman (2007, May). The technology of skill formation. *American Economic Review* 97(2), 31–47.
- 27 Cunha, F. and J. J. Heckman (2016). Decomposing trends in inequality in earnings into forecastable and uncertain components. *Journal of Labor Economics* 34(S2), S31–S65.
- 28 Cunha, F., J. J. Heckman, and S. Navarro (2005, April). Separating uncertainty from heterogeneity in life cycle earnings, The 2004 Hicks Lecture. *Oxford Economic Papers* 57(2), 191–261.
- 29 Dahl, M. and T. DeLeire (2008, August). The association between children's earnings and fathers' lifetime earnings: Estimates using administrative data. Discussion Paper 1342-08, Institute for Research on Poverty, University of Wisconsin-Madison.

- 30 Danmarks Statistik (1999). Forbrugsundersøgelsen. metodebeskrivelse. fradataindsamling til offentliggørelse. Copenhagen.
- 31 De Nardi, M. (2004). Wealth inequality and intergenerational links. *Review of Economic Studies* 71(3), 743–768.
- 32 De Økonomiske Rad [The Economic Council] (2021). Langsigtet økonomisk fremskrivning 2021 [Longrun economic projection 2021].
- 33 Dobbie, W., H. Grönqvist, S. Niknami, M. Palme, and M. Priks (2018). The intergenerational effects of parental incarceration. Technical report, National Bureau of Economic Research.
- 34 Dogra, K. and O. Gorbachev (2016). Consumption volatility, liquidity constraints and household welfare. *The Economic Journal* 126(597), 2012–2037.

- 35 Escanciano, J. C., S. Hoderlein, A. Lewbel, O. Linton, and S. Srisuma (2021). Nonparametric Euler equation identification and estimation. *Econometric Theory* 37(5), 851–891.
- 36 Eshaghnia, S. S. M., J. J. Heckman, and A. Ben Hassine (2022). Outcome-specific intergenerational mobility. Unpublished manuscript, University of Chicago.
- 37 García, J. L., J. Heckman, and V. Ronda (2022). The lasting effects of early childhood education on promoting the skills and social mobility of disadvantaged African Americans and their children. *Journal of Political Economy*. Forthcoming.
- 38 Grawe, N. D. (2006). Lifecycle bias in estimates of intergenerational earnings persistence. *Labour Economics* 13(5), 551–570.

- 39 Hai, R. and J. J. Heckman (2017). Inequality in human capital and endogenous credit constraints. *Review of Economic Dynamics* 25, 4–36. Special Issue on Human Capital and Inequality.
- 40 Heckman, J. J. and S. Mosso (2014, August). The economics of human development and social mobility. *Annual Review of Economics* 6(1), 689–733.
- 41 Ho, T. K. et al. (1995). Proceedings of 3rd international conference on document analysis and recognition. In *IEEE*, pp. 278–282.
- 42 Huggett, M. and G. Kaplan (2011). Human capital values and returns: Bounds implied by earnings and asset returns data. *Journal of Economic Theory* 146(3), 897–919.
- 43 Huggett, M. and G. Kaplan (2012). The money value of a man. Technical report, National Bureau of Economic Research.

- 44 Huggett, M. and G. Kaplan (2016). How large is the stock component of human capital? *Review of Economic Dynamics* 22, 21–51.
- 45 Jantti, M. and S. P. Jenkins (2015). Income mobility. In *Handbook of Income Distribution*, Volume 2, pp. 807–935. Elsevier.
- 46 Johnston, D.W., S. Schurer, and M. A. Shields (2013). Exploring the intergenerational persistence of mental health: Evidence from three generations. *Journal of Health Economics* 32(6), 1077–1089.
- 47 Karlson, K. B. and R. Landersø (2021, February). The making and unmaking of opportunity: Educational mobility in 20th century-denmark. Discussion Paper No. 14135, *IZA Institute of Labor Economics*.

- 48 Landersø, R. and J. J. Heckman (2017). The scandinavian fantasy: The sources of intergenerational mobility in denmark and the us. *Scandinavian Journal of Economics* 119(1), 178–230.
- 49 Li, M. and S. J. Goetz (2019). The intergenerational persistence of self-employment across China's planned economy era. *Journal of Labor Economics* 37(4), 1301–1330.
- 50 Little, R. J. and D. B. Rubin (2002). Bayes and multiple imputation. *Statistical Analysis with Missing Data*, 200–220.
- 51 Lo Bello, S. and I. Morchio (2020). Employment prospects across generations and the intergenerational persistence of earnings. Available at SSRN 3631750.
- 52 Lucas, R. E. (1978). Asset prices in an exchange economy. *Econometrica: Journal of the Econometric Society*, 1429–1445.

- 53 Manduca, R., M. Hell, A. Adermon, J. Blanden, E. Bratberg, A. C. Gielen, H. Van Kippersluis, K. B. Lee, S. J. Machin, M. Munk, et al. (2020). Trends in absolute income mobility in north america and europe. *IZA Discussion Paper* No. 13456.
- 54 Mazumder, B. (2005). Fortunate sons: New estimates of intergenerational mobility in the united states using social security earnings data. *Review of Economics and Statistics* 87(2), 235–255.
- 55 Meghir, C., M. Palme, and M. Schnabel (2012, June). The effect of education policy on crime: An intergenerational perspective. Working paper 18145, National Bureau of Economic Research.
- 56 Mincer, J. (1974). *Schooling, Experience, and Earnings*. New York: Columbia University Press for National Bureau of Economic Research.

- 57 Ministry of Industry, Business, and Financial Affairs (2013). Den finansielle krise i Danmark—arsager, konsekvenser og læing [the financial crisis in Denmark—causes, consequences, and lessons].

- 58 Nilsen, Ø. A., K. Vaage, A. Aakvik, and K. Jacobsen (2008). Estimates of intergenerational elasticities based on lifetime earnings. *IZA Discussion Paper*, No. 3709.
- 59 Parker, J. A. and B. Preston (2005). Precautionary saving and consumption fluctuations. *American Economic Review* 95(4), 1119–1143.
- 60 Reville, R. T. (1995). Intertemporal and life cycle variation in measured intergenerational earnings mobility. Unpublished Manuscript, RAND.
- 61 Solon, G. (1989). Biases in the estimation of intergenerational earnings correlations. *The Review of Economics and Statistics* 71(1), 172–174.

- 62 Solon, G. (1992, June). Intergenerational income mobility in the United States. *American Economic Review* 82(3), 393–408.
- 63 Solon, G. (1999). Intergenerational mobility in the labor market. In *Handbook of Labor Economics*, Volume 3, pp. 1761–1800. Elsevier.
- 64 Solon, G. (2002, Summer). Cross-country differences in intergenerational earnings mobility. *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 16(3), 59–66.
- 65 Solon, G. (2004). A model of intergenerational mobility variation over time and place. In M. Corak (Ed.), *Generational Income Mobility in North America and Europe*, Chapter 2, pp. 38–47. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- 66 Statistics Denmark (2001). 50 ars oversigten [The 50-year overview].

- 67 Stuhler, J. and M. Nybom (2022). Interpreting trends in intergenerational mobility. *Journal of Political Economy*.
- 68 Szpiro, G. G. (1986). Relative risk aversion around the world. *Economics Letters* 20(1), 19–21.
- 69 Tominey, E., P. Carneiro, I. L. Garcia, and K. Salvanes (2020, July). Intergenerational mobility and the timing of parental income. *Journal of Political Economy*.
- 70 Waldkirch, A., S. Ng, and D. Cox (2004). Intergenerational linkages in consumption behavior. *Journal of Human Resources* 39(2), 355–381.
- 71 Willis, R. J. (1986). Wage determinants: A survey and reinterpretation of human capital earnings functions. In O. Ashenfelter and R. Layard (Eds.), *Handbook of Labor Economics*, Volume 1, pp. 525–602. New York: North-Holland.

Appendix: Additional Slides

Table 4: Specification Tests (\mathcal{Z}^j Is the Candidate Proxy for Information Set)

		(1)	(2)
Panel A: Full Population			
		y_{50}	$y_{50} - \mathbb{E}(y_{50} \mid \mathcal{Z}_{30}^1)$
Consumption (Age 30)	β_{OLS}	0.35	0.25
	T-stat	(37.50)	(4.88)
Panel B: Main Sample, Child Outcomes			
		y_{30}	$y_{30} - \mathbb{E}(y_{30} \mid \mathcal{Z}_{29}^1)$
Disposable Income (Age 30)	β_{OLS}	0.10	0.07
	T-stat	(14.75)	(10.89)
Wage Income (Age 30)	β_{OLS}	0.18	0.10
	T-stat	(31.49)	(19.10)
College Attainment	β_{OLS}	0.32	0.15
	T-stat	(11.91)	(5.53)
Years of Schooling	β_{OLS}	2.04	1.23
	T-stat	(15.28)	(9.02)

Continues

Table 4: Specification Tests (\mathcal{Z}^j Is the Candidate Proxy for Information Set)


		(3)	(4)
Panel A: Full Population			
		$y_{50} - \mathbb{E}(y_{50} \mathcal{Z}_{30}^2)$	$y_{50} - \mathbb{E}(y_{50} \mathcal{Z}_{30}^3)$
Consumption (Age 30)	β_{OLS}	0.23	0.03
	T-stat	(4.55)	(0.72)
Panel B: Main Sample, Child Outcomes			
		$y_{30} - \mathbb{E}(y_{30} \mathcal{Z}_{29}^2)$	$y_{30} - \mathbb{E}(y_{30} \mathcal{Z}_{29}^3)$
Disposable Income (Age 30)	β_{OLS}	0.05	-0.00
	T-stat	(8.84)	(-0.12)
Wage Income (Age 30)	β_{OLS}	0.07	0.01
	T-stat	(13.60)	(1.57)
College Attainment	β_{OLS}	0.06	-0.04
	T-stat	(2.27)	(-0.80)
Years of Schooling	β_{OLS}	0.49	-0.09
	T-stat	(3.60)	(-0.39)

[Return to Main Text](#)



Figure 4: Timing of Key Life Events across Generations, Cont'd

(c) Females



(d) Males






Figure 4: Timing of Key Life Events across Generations, Cont'd

(e) Females

(f) Males



[Return to Main Text](#)



Figure 7: Parents' Resources and Children's Outcomes, Cont'd

(c) Danish Reading




Figure 7: Parents' Resources and Children's Outcomes, Cont'd

(d) Years of Education




Figure 7: Parents' Resources and Children's Outcomes, Cont'd

(e) Criminal Behavior (Reversed)





Figure 7: Parents' Resources and Children's Outcomes, Cont'd

(f) Having a Child by Age 20 (Reversed)



[Return to Main Text](#)



Figure 12: Local-Linear IGEs for Lifetime Measures, Cont'd

(e) Realized PDV





Figure 12: Local-Linear IGEs for Lifetime Measures, Cont'd


(f) Realized Lifetime Wealth



[Return to Main Text](#)



Figure 26: SDF at Different Ages by Birth Cohort




[Return to Main Text](#)



Rank-Rank Version


Figure 27: Rank-Rank Estimates of IGE



[Return to Main Text](#)




Figure 28: Log-Log IGE Estimates



[Return to Main Text](#)



Figure 13: Decomposition of Covariances



[Return to Main Text](#)

