Course report

Course name and code: ICAL International Commercial Arbitration JU677M

Semester: HT23

Credits: 30

Responsible teacher: Daria Kozlowska-Rautiainen

Number of students: 35

Number of respondents to the feedback form: 8

Description of changes since previous year: No significant changes made since the previous year. There was more teacher lead discussion compared to previous year. One part of the exam is now held at the University.

The strengths of the course's according to the students

The students were overall very satisfied with the course. What has been lifted in the feedback was the content of the course, the combination of teaching theory and practice and the different activities and tasks employed in the teaching, e.g. negotiating arbitration agreement. Especially the seminars and the contact with the teachers has been appreciated. Flexibility and enhancement of self-reflection and self-study has been also mentioned as a strength. Many students also stated that the mock arbitration and guest lectures with practitioners and academics are some of the highlights of the course. The access to sources through the databases available to our students has been also mentioned.

The weaknesses of the course according to the students

Some students mentioned that the group work does not always equal the same amount of work load on all group members. There are also proposals of having more guest lecturers. There is also some argument about there being too much reading for the seminars.

Teachers' comments

We find that this year's structure, examination and literature work really well. The only change that is foreseen for the next year is to provide more guest lectures and more focused reading for seminars.

1. Overall, I am satisfied with the course.

| Overall, I am satisfied with the course.	Number of responses
1. Disagree	0 (0,0%)
2	0 (0,0%)
3	0 (0,0%)
4	2 (25,0%)
5. Totally agree	6 (75,0%)
Total	8 (100,0%)

2. The content of the course was relevant to me for achieving the intended learning outcomes.

| The content of the course was relevant to me for achieving the intended learning outcomes.	Number of responses
1. Disagree	0 (0,0%)
2	0 (0,0%)
3	0 (0,0%)
4	1 (12,5%)
5. Totally agree	7 (87,5%)
Total	8 (100,0%)

3. The teaching gave me good conditions to achieve the intended learning outcomes.

The teaching gave me good conditions to achieve the intended learning outcomes.	Number of responses
1. Disagree	0 (0,0%)
2	0 (0,0%)
3	0 (0,0%)
4	0 (0,0%)
5. Totally agree	8 (100,0%)
Total	8 (100,0%)

4. The examination/assessment tested how well I achieved the intended learning outcomes.

The examination /assessment tested how well I achieved the intended learning outcomes.	Number of responses
1. Disagree	0 (0,0%)
2	0 (0,0%)
3	0 (0,0%)
4	2 (25,0%)
5. Totally agree	6 (75,0%)
Total	8 (100,0%)

5. On average, I have spent approximately the following number of hours per week on the course, total time, including self-study.

On average, I have spent approximately the following number of hours per week on the course, total time, including self-study.	Number of responses
20 - 22	1 (12,5%)
23 - 25	1 (12,5%)
26 - 28	0 (0,0%)
29 - 31	3 (37,5%)
32 - 34	0 (0,0%)
35 - 37	1 (12,5%)
38 - 40	0 (0,0%)
41 - 43	0 (0,0%)
44 - 46	1 (12,5%)
47 - 49	1 (12,5%)
Total	8 (100,0%)