

Literature PhD course Feminist Economics

Ahl, H., & Marlow, S. (2021). Exploring the false promise of entrepreneurship through a postfeminist critique of the enterprise policy discourse in Sweden and the UK. *Human Relations* 74: 41-68. (27 p)

Berik, G. & Kongar, E. (red.) (2021) *The Routledge handbook of feminist economics*. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429020612>. Chapters 1, 9, 17, 23, 31, 39, 48. (77 p)

Berglund, K., Ahl, H., Pettersson, K., & Tillmar, M. (2023). Conceptualising feminist resistance in the postfeminist terrain. *Gender in Management: An International Journal* 38: 183-199. (16 p)

Bhattacharya, T. (2017). "How not to skip class: social reproduction of labour and the global working class". I: Bhattacharya, T. (ed.) *Social reproduction theory: remapping class, recentring oppression*. London: Pluto Press, pp. 68-93. (25 p)

Borrowman, M., E. Leasure, F. Papagiotti, K. Harris, and A. Nijhawan (2022). *Global Assessment of Care Services: Current Status, Impact, and Policy Recommendations*, WeProsper. Washington, D.C. https://www.icrw.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/09/WP_Report_Care_Services.pdf (25 p)

Cohn, C., & Duncanson, C. (2023). Critical feminist engagements with Green New Deals. *Feminist Economics*, 1-25. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13545701.2023.2184844> (24 p)

De Henau, J., & Himmelweit, S. (2021). A care-led recovery from Covid-19: investing in high-quality care to stimulate and rebalance the economy. *Feminist Economics*, 27: 453-469. (16 p)

England, P. (2005). Emerging theories of care work. *Annual Review of Sociology* 31: 381-99. (18 p).

Federici, S. (1975) *Wages against housework*, Bristol: The Falling Wall Press (8 p.). Available as a pdf in Athena. Also available re-printed in Federici, S. (2012) *Revolution at point zero: housework, reproduction, and feminist struggle*, Oakland, CA: PM Press, pp. 15-22.

Haynes, K. (2017). Accounting as gendering and gendered: A review of 25 years of critical accounting research on gender. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting* 43: 110-124. DOI: 10.1016/j.cpa.2016.06.004 (14 p)

Journal of Agrarian Change, Special Issue (forthcoming 2023): The Social Reproduction of Agrarian Change: Feminist Political Economy and Rural Transformations in the Global South. <https://doi.org/10.1111/joac.12535>

Mandel, H., & Rotman, A. (2022). The Stalled Gender Revolution and the Rise of Top Earnings in the United States, 1980 to 2017. *Sociological Science* 9: 136-158. <https://sociologicalscience.com/articles-v9-6-136/> (22 p)

Nyberg, A. (1997). "Makt, kön och BNP". I: *Familj, makt och jämställdhet del 1, SOU 1997:138, Rapport till Utredningen om fördelningen av ekonomisk makt och ekonomiska*

resurser mellan kvinnor och män, s 81-105.

<https://www.regeringen.se/contentassets/b4385da7e123445f97c41a6d32061071/familj-makt-och-jamstalldhet-del-1-av-2-sou-1997138/> (24 s) – ENGLISH ALTERNATIVE TBA

Nyberg, A. (2001). Feministiska ekonomer och feministisk ekonomi – exemplet nationalekonomi. *Tidskrift för Genusvetenskap* Nr 3-4.

<https://ojs.ub.gu.se/index.php/tgv/article/view/2243/1999>. (19 s) – ENGLISH ALTERNATIVE TBA

Oppi, C. & Galizzi, G. (2022). Gender-responsive budgeting for public value creation: Insights from higher education. *Financial Accountability & Management*. DOI: 10.1111/faam.12352 (20 p)

Rai, S. M., Hoskyns, C. & Thomas, D. (2014). Depletion: the cost of social reproduction. *International Feminist Journal of Politics* 16: 86-105. (19 p)

Sullivan, K. R., & Delaney, H. (2017). A femininity that ‘giveth and taketh away’: The prosperity gospel and postfeminism in the neoliberal economy." *Human Relations* 70: 836-859. (23 p)

Wittbom, E. & Häyrén A. (2021). Post-NPM gender accounting – can public value management enhance gender mainstreaming? *Public Money & Management* 41: 507–515. DOI:10.1080/09540962.2021.1929432 (8 p)

Wittbom, E. (2015). Management Control for Gender Mainstreaming – A Quest of Transformative Norm Breaking. *Journal of Accounting & Organizational Change* 11: 527–545. DOI: 10.1108/JAOC-08-2012-0069. (18 p)

Whittle, J. (2019). A Critique of Approaches to ‘Domestic Work’: Women, Work and the Pre-Industrial Economy. *Past & Present* 243: 35-70. <https://doi.org/10.1093/pastj/gtz002>. (35 p)

Mandatory literature for seminar 21 September

Select one of the two lists below:

Read either the following literature on high-wage economy (in this order):

Allen, R. C. (2015). The high wage economy and the industrial revolution: a restatement. *Economic History Review* 68: 1– 22. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/43910008> (21 p)

Humphries, J. & Schneider, B. (2019). Spinning the industrial revolution. *Economic History Review* 72: 126–155. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ehr.12693> (29 p)

Allen, R. C. (2020). Spinning their wheels: a reply to Jane Humphries and Benjamin Schneider. *Economic History Review* 74: 1128-1136. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ehr.12850> (8 p)

Humphries, J. & Schneider, B. (2020). Losing the thread: a response to Robert Allen. *Economic History Review* 74: 1137-1152. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ehr.12963> (15 p)

Or read literature on the girl power-hypothesis (in this order):

De Moor, T. & Luiten van Zanden, J. (2010). Girl power: the European marriage pattern and labour markets in the North Sea region in the late medieval and early modern period. *Economic History Review* 63: 1-33. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0289.2009.00483.x> (32 p)

Dennison, T. & Ogilvie, S. (2014). Does the European Marriage Pattern Explain Economic Growth?, *The Journal of Economic History* 74: 651-693. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/24550508> (38 p)

Carmichael, S. G., de Pleijt, A., Luiten van Zanden, J. & De Moor, T. (2016) The European Marriage Pattern and Its Measurement. *The Journal of Economic History* 76: 196-204. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022050716000474> (9 p)