

## **Course report**

**Course name and code:** Arbitration Course JU678F

**Semester:** VT23

**Credits:** 15

**Responsible teacher:** Daria Kozłowska-Rautiainen

**Number of students:** 1

**Number of respondents to the feedback form:** 1

**Description of changes since previous year:** New course

### **The strengths of the course's according to the students**

The satisfaction with the course was very high. What has been lifted in the feedback was the content of the course, engaged teachers, the combination of teaching theory and practice and the different activities and tasks employed in the teaching. The comprehensiveness of the course and the materials provided by the teachers have also been mentioned. The structure of the course was considered very clear. It has been also stated that the mock arbitration was one of the highlights of the course. It has been even stated as follows:

“ Having studied at Chalmers, Stanford University and Harvard University, among others, and other courses at Stockholm University, my experience is that the combination of the above-mentioned positive qualities is relatively rare.”

### **The weaknesses of the course according to the students**

One issue that was pointed out as an area that could be improved was better coordination between the two teachers. The other point was to make the mock arbitration case more difficult by removing the suggested issues that the parties are to argue and to make the requirements of the exam clearer.

### **Teachers' comments**

We find that the course's structure, workload, examination and literature work really well. The only change that is foreseen for the next year is to provide more clarity regarding the requirements of the exam.