

## **LL.M. Programme report**

ICAL LL.M. Programme

**Semester:** HT23/VT24

**Credits:** 60

**Programme Directors:** Daria Kozłowska-Rautiainen and Crina Baltag

**Number of students:** 37

**Number of respondents to the feedback form:** 6

**Description of changes since previous year:** No significant changes made since the previous year. There was more teacher lead discussion compared to previous year. One part of the exam in the ICA course has now been held at the University.

### **The strengths of the course's according to the students**

The students were overall very satisfied with the Programme. As the strengths of the Programme the students listed a small and diverse group of students, mock arbitration, direct contact with the teachers and the teachers' support and input in the learning experience. The students really appreciated the way the courses are organized, the ample possibility to discuss and exchange views, the teaching methods, materials and tasks that were thought-provoking and enhanced the process of gaining knowledge and skills. The lectures with outside experts – practitioners and academics have also been considered a strong plus of the Programme. Involvement of the arbitration community is something that is very important for the ICAL Programme.

### **The weaknesses of the course according to the students**

Some suggestions for improvement include more lectures and seminars in the last part of the Programme, less teaching online during the spring, help with organizing residence permit and organizing employment opportunities.

### **Teachers' comments**


We find that the Programme worked really well. Exceptionally this spring one teacher was teaching more online, but next year this will not be the case. Additionally next year more students have been accepted into the Programme.

# ICAL Programme evaluation 2023-2024

Antal svar: 6


## 1. Overall, I am satisfied with the programme.

Overall, I am satisfied with the programme.	Antal svar
1. Disagree	0 (0,0%)
2	1 (16,7%)
3	0 (0,0%)
4	0 (0,0%)
5. Totally agree	5 (83,3%)
Don't know	0 (0,0%)
Summa	6 (100,0%)




## 2. The content of the programme was relevant to me for achieving the intended learning outcomes.

The content of the programme was relevant to me for achieving the intended learning outcomes.	Antal svar
1. Disagree	0 (0,0%)
2	1 (16,7%)
3	0 (0,0%)
4	0 (0,0%)
5. Totally agree	5 (83,3%)
Don't know	0 (0,0%)
Summa	6 (100,0%)




## 3. The teaching gave me good conditions to achieve the intended learning outcomes.

The teaching gave me good conditions to achieve the intended learning outcomes.	Antal svar
1. Diagree	0 (0,0%)
2	1 (16,7%)
3	0 (0,0%)
4	1 (16,7%)
5. Totally agree	4 (66,7%)
Don't know	0 (0,0%)
Summa	6 (100,0%)



#### 4. The examination/assessment tested how well I achieved the intended learning outcomes.

The examination /assessment tested how well I achieved the intended learning outcomes.	Antal svar
1. Disagree	0 (0,0%)
2	0 (0,0%)
3	1 (16,7%)
4	1 (16,7%)
5. Totally agree	4 (66,7%)
Don't know	0 (0,0%)
Summa	6 (100,0%)



#### 5. On average, I have spent approximately the following number of hours per week on the course, total time, including self-study.

On average, I have spent approximately the following number of hours per week on the course, total time, including self-study.	Antal svar
1-10 hours	0 (0,0%)
11-20 hours	1 (16,7%)
21-30 hours	3 (50,0%)
31-40 hours	2 (33,3%)
> 40 hours	0 (0,0%)
Summa	6 (100,0%)

