

Stockholm University Department of Sociology

Course Plan SO7420

Sociological Perspectives on Organization and Leadership (7,5 ECTS)

Course Teacher: Linda Weidenstedt

Autumn term 2024 (4/11 2024 – 19/01 2025)

1. General information

The course is a 7.5 ECTS credits course in sociology at the advanced level. All lectures and seminars will be held in English. The majority of lectures will be held on campus and a few on Zoom.

This master's level course aims to introduce advanced students with a background in personnel, working life, and organization studies to a range of sociological theories, concepts, and empirical studies in the field of organizations, leadership, and labor markets. We review, analyze, and discuss theories relating to the macro level (the labor market), the micro level (individuals, employers/employees, interaction, leadership), and the meso level (organizations), as well as how these different levels are interconnected. Students will also attend seminars in which the literature and its relevance for practice is discussed.

The course is organized as a sequence of lectures, held by experts in the different fields, and seminars in connection with each lecture.

Important:

Course communication will primarily happen via the online learning platform **Athena**. Please make sure you find your way to the course's Athena page at https://athena.itslearning.com/

2. Intended learning outcomes

Upon completion of this course, students should be able to:

- describe and analyze the differences between common organizational theories and institutional perspectives;
- define and apply key terms and concepts of institutional-, network-, and organization theory to analyze phenomena and processes related to organizations, leadership and labor market;
- describe and critically analyze different leadership- and management models from a sociological point of view;
- explain how social stratification processes by dimensions such as gender, class, and ethnicity
 may arise within organizations and the labor market, and to analyze the impact these processes
 can have on organizations and society at large.

3. Teaching and learning activities

Teaching is conducted through lectures and seminars. Students are expected to do the following:

- Complete assigned reading before each class meeting
- Complete assignment before class meeting
- Participate actively in seminar discussions

The course consists of 10 lectures (á 2 hours). 8 of the lectures are followed by a 1 hour seminar. Students have to submit written seminar assignments (see below). In seminars, students are expected to actively take part in discussions.

Lecturers:

• On matters relating to the course in general, examination etc:

Linda Weidenstedt: linda.weidenstedt@sociology.su.se

• On matters regarding particular lectures:

Andrea Geissinger: angei@stanford.edu

Hernan Mondani: hernan.mondani@sociology.su.se
Linda Weidenstedt: linda.weidenstedt@sociology.su.se
Charlotta Stern: charlotta.stern@sociology.su.se

4. Schedule

#	Time &	Lecturer	Title of lecture &	Literature
	Place		activity	
1	4 Nov 15:00 – 17:00 E306	Linda Weidenstedt	Introduction to the themes of the coursePractical issues	· Elger 2009
2	8 Nov 9:00 – 12:00 <mark>Zoom</mark>	Andrea Geissinger	Organizational forms & the expansion of organizationseminar 1	· Ahrne & Brunsson 2011 · Meyer & Bromley 2013 · Rao et al. 2000
3	12 Nov 13:00 – 16:00 A5137	Linda Weidenstedt	· Classical organization theories I · seminar 2	· Tosi & Slocum 1984 · Williamson 1981
4	19 Nov 13:00 – 16:00 <mark>Zoom</mark>	Linda Weidenstedt Andrea Geissinger	· Classical organization theories II · seminar 3	 DiMaggio & Powell 1983 Hannan & Freeman 1977 Kanter 1977 Friedland & Alford 1991* Meyer & Rowan 1977*
5	22 Nov 9:00 – 12:00 D9	Hernan Mondani	Network analysis and organizational dynamicsseminar 4	· Cross et al. 2002 · Borgatti et al. 2003 · Uzzi & Dunlap 2005
6	27 Nov 13:00 – 16:00 D499	Linda Weidenstedt	Communication, employee voice, and powerseminar 5	Hyman 2018Weidenstedt 2016Zhu et al. 2018Al-Fedaghi 2012*
7	2 Dec 13:00 – 16:00 F12	Lotta Stern	Co-determination, unions, and employee voiceseminar 6	· Hedin 2015 · Levinson 2000 · Wheeler 2002
8	6 Dec 10:00 – 13:00 D416	Linda Weidenstedt	· Leading remote work · seminar 7	· Bloom et al. 2022 · Byrd 2022 · McDonald et al. 2022
9	12 Dec 9:00 – 12:00 <mark>Zoom</mark>	Andrea Geissinger Linda Weidenstedt	· Gig economy · seminar 8	Healy et al. 2017Kellogg et al. 2020Kenney & Zysman 2016
10	16 Dec 14:00 – 16:00 E319	Lotta Stern Linda Weidenstedt	 The Swedish labor market model Summary, questions, and take-home exam 	Bengtsson 2023Kjellberg 2017Swenson 2004

^{*} optional reading

5. Assessment

To pass the course, students must:

- a) attend at least 5 of the 8 seminars;
- b) **submit** written assignment for the course seminars;
- c) achieve at least **grade E** on the compound seminar-assignment-grade;
- d) get **grade** E or higher (A-D) on the main course exam.

5.1 Seminar-assignments

Eight of the course's lectures are followed by a 1 hour seminar. Students are expected to submit the following assignment in advance to those seminars:

- **Read and examine** the <u>obligatory</u> course literature carefully (i.e. the assigned literature for a particular lecture/seminar)
- Answer the following tasks (ca 150-200 words all in all):
 - 1) Describe what strikes you as particularly interesting in at least one of the readings and why.
 - 2) Describe any question, problem, or issue you might have with the readings.
 - 3) Explain how the literature relates/compares to (any) of the other course readings, including the ones for this lecture (contradicting, agreeing, deviating, expanding...?)
 - 4) Formulate a short critique of at least one of the readings.
 - 5) Formulate a possible research question that relates to aspects of working life, labor market, organization and/or leadership and some or all of the literature for the lecture/seminar.
- The assignment must be written in a coherent text, not in bullet points!
- The assignment will be graded on the following scale:
 Fail (F), Pass (P), Pass with distinction (PD) [equivalent to U, G, VG in Swedish].
 F=0 points; P=1 point, PD=2 points. The combined number of points of all submitted assignments will then be transferred into an A-F scale and you will receive one final compound grade for your assignments. Together with the grade for the main course exam, the grade for the assignments will be part of your final course grade (assignment grade x1, main exam grade x2).

 More information will be given in the introductory lecture.

Each assignment is due by the time the respective seminar starts and must be submitted on Athena under Resources >> Assignments >> respective assignment for each lecture.

5.2 Main course exam

The course is additionally assessed through a written take-home exam, consisting of 2-3 essay tasks that students will receive together with the exam. Each essay is graded on a scale from A to F (see below for criteria). Component grades are summed up to a final grade. More information will be given in the introductory lecture.

The take-home exam must be uploaded on Athena no later than

January 19, 2025 at 23:59 at the latest.

Release for a make-up exam ("omtenta") is scheduled for February 24, 2025
Submission deadline:
March 30, 2025 at 23:59

The take-home exam is evaluated according to the following standard reference criteria, based on how well students accomplish the **intended learning outcomes** (outlined above):

A= This grade is earned when the student demonstrates his/her ability to apply a specific theory to a particular problem in a sophisticated, reflective, coherent, consistent, and logical way. The student can analyze both advantages and limitations of the selected theory. The student can accurately compare, contrast, and critically evaluate different theoretical approaches to the problem.

B= This grade is earned when the student demonstrates his/her ability to apply a specific theory to a particular problem in a coherent and consistent way but may lack a sophisticated or reflective understanding of the underlying principles. The student can analyze both advantages and limitations of the selected theory but may place more emphasis on one or the other. The student can accurately compare, contrast, and critically evaluate different theoretical approaches to the problem.

C= This grade is earned when the student demonstrates his/her ability to apply a specific theory to a particular problem in a coherent way but lacks a sophisticated or reflective understanding of the underlying principles. At a basic level, the student can explain the advantages and limitations of the selected theory but may place more emphasis on one or the other. The student can compare and contrast different theoretical approaches to the problem but may show limits in terms of critical evaluation.

D= This grade is earned when the student has some difficulty demonstrating his/her ability to apply a specific theory to a particular problem in a coherent way. The student can explain some of the advantages and limitations of the selected theory but may also include inaccuracies and weak understanding. The student cannot accurately compare and contrast different theoretical approaches to the problem and cannot sufficiently evaluate them critically.

E= This grade is earned when the student has considerable difficulty demonstrating his/her ability to apply a specific theory to a particular problem in a coherent way. The student can explain some of the advantages and limitations of the selected theory but may also include inaccuracies and weak understanding. The student cannot accurately compare and contrast different theoretical approaches to the problem and cannot sufficiently evaluate them critically.

Fx= This grade is earned when the student cannot complete the work assigned for the course. The student cannot apply a specific theory to a particular problem in a coherent way. The student cannot explain the advantages and limitations of the selected theory without inaccuracies. The student cannot accurately compare and contrast different theoretical approaches to the problem and cannot sufficiently evaluate them critically.

F= This grade is earned when the student cannot complete the work assigned for the course. The student cannot apply a specific theory to a particular problem in a coherent way. The student cannot explain the advantages and limitations of the selected theory without inaccuracies. The student cannot accurately compare and contrast different theoretical approaches to the problem and cannot sufficiently evaluate them critically.

Note: <u>Grade E</u> is needed to pass the course. Fx indicates that the student is offered the opportunity to upgrade his/her course work as long as the course is provided in order to achieve at least grade E. A student with grade E is not entitled to redo course work to raise his/her grade. Students who receive Fx or F on course work twice from the same instructor can request to be evaluated by another instructor. Such a request should be sent to the Director of Studies. Students can request to have course work according to this syllabus up to three semesters after it ceases to be valid. Such a request should also be sent to the Director of Studies.

6) Plagiarism

Plagiarism is strictly prohibited and may result in suspension from the University. The Department of Sociology reports all suspected cases of plagiarism to the University's disciplinary committee. Plagiarism means that you copy someone else's text or ideas without referring to the source. In an academic text, it is very important to be able to distinguish the author's own ideas from someone else's. There is nothing wrong in telling what others have written on the subject—quite the contrary—but you must make it clear to the reader whose ideas you are referring to. To avoid plagiarism, it is better that you refer too much than too little.

7) Course literature (required and *optional reading)

Articles can be downloaded with an SU-account. If you encounter problems with downloading articles, please see this instruction:

https://www.su.se/english/library/tutorials/tutorials/search-for-scholarly-articles-1.315589

Ahrne G. & N. Brunsson. 2011. "Organization Outside Organizations. The Significance of Partial Organization." *Organization* 18:83-104.

http://org.sagepub.com/content/18/1/83.full.pdf+html

- *Al-Fedaghi, S. 2012. "A Conceptual FOundation for the Shannon-Weaver Model of Communication." *International Journal of Soft Computing* 7:12-19. http://docsdrive.com/pdfs/medwelljournals/ijscomp/2012/12-19.pdf
- Barley S. & G. Kunda. 2001. "Bringing Work Back in." *Organization Science* 12:75-94. http://www.jstor.org/stable/pdfplus/2640398.pdf?acceptTC=true
- Bengtsson, E. (2023). The Origins of the Swedish Wage Bargaining Model. International Labor and Working-Class History, 103, 162-178. https://doi:10.1017/S0147547922000047
- Bloom, N., Han R. & J. Liang. 2022. "How Hybrid Working From Home Works Out." NBER Working Paper Series. https://www.nber.org/system/files/working_papers/w30292/w30292.pdf
- Borgatti, S. P. & P. C. Foster. 2003. "The Network Paradigm in Organizational Research: A Review and Typology." *Journal of Management* 29:991-1013.

http://jom.sagepub.com/content/29/6/991.abstract

- Byrd, M. 2022. "Creating a culture of inclusion and belongingness in remote work environments that sustain meaningful work." Human Resource Development International 25(2):145–162. https://doi.org/10.1080/13678868.2022.2047252
- Cross, R., Borgatti, S. P. & A. Parker. 2002. "Making Invisible Work Visible: Using Social Network Analysis to Support Strategic Collaboration." *California Management Review* 44: 25-46. https://ore.exeter.ac.uk/repository/bitstream/handle/10871/25566/Making?sequence=1
- DiMaggio, P.J. & W.W. Powell. 1983. "The Iron Cage Revisited: Institutional Isomorphism and Collective Rationality in Organizational Fields." *American Sociological Review* 48(2):147-160. https://www.jstor.org/stable/2095101

- Elger, T. 2009. "Teaching the Sociology of Work and Employment: Texts and Reflections." *Sociology* 43(5): 997–1006. https://doi.org/10.1177/0038038509340740
- *Friedland,R. & R. Alford. 1991. "Bringing society back in: Symbols, Practices, and Institutional Contradictions." Pp. 232-266 in Powell, W.W. & P.J. DiMaggio. *The new institutionalism in organizational analysis*. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press.

 https://www.researchgate.net/publication/238198697 Bringing Society Back In Symbols Practices and Institutional Contradictions
- Hannan M. T. & J. Freeman 1977. "The Population Ecology of Organizations." *American Journal of Sociology* 82(5):929-64. http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/2777807
- Healy, J., Nicholson, D., & Pekarek, A. 2017. "Should we take the gig economy seriously?" *Labour & Industry: a journal of the social and economic relations of work*, 27(3):232-248. https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/10301763.2017.1377048
- Hedin, A. (2015). The Origin and Myths of the Swedish Model of Workplace Democracy. Contemporary European History. 24(1): 59-82. https://doi:10.1017/S0960777314000423
- Hyman, J. 2018. "Management Perspectives on Employee Participation and Voice" (kapitel 3). I *Employee Voice and Participation. Contested past, troubled present, uncertain future*. Routledge: London. **Available on Athena.**
- Kanter, R.M. 1977. "Some effects of proportions on group life: Skewed sex ratios and responses to token women." American Journal of Sociology, 82(5):965-990. https://www.jstor.org/stable/2777808?seq=1
- Kellogg, K. C., Valentine, M. A., & Christin, A. 2020. "Algorithms at work: The new contested terrain of control". *Academy of Management Annals*, 14(1):366-410. https://journals.aom.org/doi/abs/10.5465/annals.2018.0174
- Kenney, M., & Zysman, J. 2016. "The rise of the platform economy". *Issues in science and technology*, 32(3):61-69. https://www.nbp.pl/badania/seminaria/25x2016_2.pdf
- Kjellberg, A. (2017). Self-regulation versus State Regulation in Swedish Industrial Relations. In M. Rönnmar, & J. Julén Votinius (Eds.), Festskrift till Ann Numhauser-Henning (pp. 357-383). Juristförlaget i Lund. Länk: https://portal.research.lu.se/en/publications/ac5caadc-5869-4753-ae32-9cae40377d4a
- Levinson, K. (2000). Codetermination in Sweden: Myth and Reality. Economic and Industrial Democracy, 21(4), 457-473. https://doi.org/10.1177/0143831X00214003
- McDonald, K., Hite, L.M. & K. O'Connor. 2022. "Developing sustainable careers for remote workers." Human Resource Development International 25(2):182–198. https://doi.org/10.1080/13678868.2022.2047252
- Meyer, J. W. & Bromley, P. 2013. "The worldwide expansion of 'organization". Sociological Theory, 31(4):366-389. https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/0735275113513264
- *Meyer, J.W. & B. Rowan. 1977. "Institutionalized Organizations: Formal Structure as Myth and Ceremony." *American Journal of Sociology* 83(2):340-363. http://www.ccsa.ufpb.br/gets/contents/documentos/meyer_rowan_teoria_institucional.pdf
- Rao, H., Morrill, C., & M.N. Zald. 2000. "Power plays: How social movements and collective action create new organizational forms." *Research in organizational behavior* 22:237-281. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0191308500220078
- Swenson, P. (2004). Varieties of Capitalist Interests: Power, Institutions, and the Regulatory Welfare State in the United States and Sweden. Studies in American Political Development, 18(1), 1-29. https://doi:10.1017/S0898588X0400001X
- Tosi, H.L. & J.W. Slocum. 1984. "Contingency Theory: Some suggested Directions." *Journal of Management* 10:9-26. http://jom.sagepub.com/content/10/1/9.full.pdf+html
- Uzzi, B. & S. Dunlap. 2005 "How to Build Your Network." *Harvard Business Review* 83(12):53-60. https://onpointcoaching.typepad.com/files/relationship-building-hbr-article.pdf

- Weidenstedt, L. 2016. "Empowerment Gone Bad: Communicative Consequences of Power Transfers." *Socius* 2:1-11. http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.1177/2378023116672869
- Wheeler, J. (2002). Employee Involvement in Action: Reviewing Swedish Codetermination. Labor Studies Journal, 26(4), 71-97. https://doi.org/10.1177/0160449X0202600404
- Williamson, O.E. 1981. "The economics of organization: the transaction costs approach." *American Journal of Sociology* 87(3):549-77. http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/2778934
- Zhu, J., Liao, Z., Yam, K.C. & R.E. Johnson. 2018. "Shared leadership: A state-of-the-art review and future research agenda." *Journal of Organizational Behavior* 39:834-852. https://doi.org/10.1002/job.2296